Winning British American Tobacco's "Best Global Project" Award with AGILE Service Management.

EMEIA MIS PPS UKI PS&IS SD&I SA

Jake Reeves

Abstract: Business and IT landscapes are becoming more dynamic and complex, and the rate of change is increasing with each passing year. Today, if an organisation is unable to manage high volumes of change, maintain end-to-end visibility and control of its supply chain and align all business and IT services with business objectives, it is working at a significant competitive disadvantage.

As new IT service opportunities such as DevOps, AGILE, BYOD, Mobility, social media, and Hybrid Cloud become more mainstream, critical decisions need to be made up front, whilst adoption of these technologies may come later. Effective IT Service Management continues to ensure organisations are more agile and responsive, and better able to respond to the ever-changing needs of the business.

What is IT Service Management? It is a defined set of capabilities, including processes, to direct and control an IT service provider's activities and resources, to design, transition, deliver and improve business and IT services. IT Service Management continues to provide proven guidance to frame and deliver business value by embracing IT trends within the overall framework of IT Service delivery. [1]

Today our customers our facing challenging questions: How can I reduce cost and risk while increasing quality and agility? How can I maintain end-to-end visibility and control of all IT and IT-enabled services through an integrated management system? How can I balance the focus on technology, people, organisational culture, processes, partners and suppliers?

This paper explores how I implemented an award-winning approach to Service Management from FY2017 to FY2019 for British American Tobacco [BAT], by introducing an Agile Service Management Framework during transition. By driving efficiency, collaboration and cost savings under an initial contract worth £5m for Western Europe, we were able to secure an additional 4 contracts worth a total of £80m covering the rest of the globe. In total we transitioned 47,500 end users across 750 sites in over 140 countries with minimal disruption and seamlessly migrated over 58 global suppliers into a single Service Management Eco-system.

Keywords: Agile, Service Management, Project Management, Transition, Service Model

1. Preface

Demands on IT for innovation and reliability have been steadily increasing since technology became a critical success factor for most businesses. IT has always been asked to do more with less, to improve its integration with business goals and to ensure the ongoing quality of IT services. With the rise of mobile technology, the cloud and an "app" mentality, IT is being asked to do all that and more at an ever-increasing rate. [1]

Whilst devices and applications are being introduced faster than ever before, it is the service behind the technology that is still most important to our customers. As a result, IT will always need to manage its services and IT Service Management [ITSM] practices and processes will always be necessary. The challenged we face with our customers is adapting Service Management practices to changing times, so they can enable IT to go faster and deliver more ongoing value. Fast changing IT requirements require fast changing IT capabilities. New capabilities require new ways of thinking and performing. IT must learn to be more agile.

Regardless of purpose or ownership, business or market sector, for-profit or not-for-profit, every organisation today operates in a world characterised by volatility, uncertainty, complexity and ambiguity.

If organisations are to survive, they must be able to respond positively and effectively to the fast-changing stimuli of today's volatile world.

In a commercial world, to thrive requires the ability to pre-empt such stimuli and sometimes, perhaps, to create turbulence that a less agile organisation will struggle to deal with.

Even without a commercial drive, government organisations, charities and other non-profit organisations need to respond to the expectations of the individuals and organisations they serve by providing valuable, cost-effective

services.

Agile Service Management is designed to help organisations thrive in today's world by harnessing the power of their people – taking the concepts of agility beyond their origins in software development, beyond projects and programmes, and right to the heart of the way the business operates. [1]

2. Why AGILE Service Management?

Agile Service Management, in many respects, can be described using the same ITIL® based terminology as any traditional implementation. The scope and process set are the same with the same objectives and outcomes; the key difference comes down to the speed in which operational delivery happens, the way in which project and operational teams interact and the way in which the users and other "customers" of IT become the focus of the delivery. [2]

Agile Service Management aims to take Agile values and apply Scrum-like development practices to process design and improvement, taking an iterative approach to make more frequent changes, rather than design and implement a total end-to-end process in the traditional way [2].

This iteration can come in different forms, whether it is finding small ways to streamline a process or discovering an area where the process can be automated and then building out that automation in an incremental way.

The Agile manifesto has four important values:

- Focus should be more on individuals and interactions instead of processes and tools.
- A working solution is more important that comprehensive documentation.
- Customer collaboration is more vital than contract negotiation.
- The process should respond to change rather than follow a plan. [2]

By adopting an Agile approach, we ensured that the IT Service Management processes reflected Agile values and were designed with "just enough" control and structure in order to effectively and efficiently deliver services that supported BAT outcomes, when and how they were needed.

Our goals and objectives in using Agile Service Management included:

 Ensuring that Agile values and principles were embedded into the primary service management activities.

- Designing through implementation and continual improvement.
- Improving our ability to meet BAT's requirements faster.
- Being effective and efficient [lean].
- Designing processes with "just enough" scalable control and structure.
- Providing services that deliver ongoing customer value.

Agile Service Management encourages a continuous learning environment and promotes better collaboration between development and operational teams by crosspollinating vocabulary and methods.

3. Customer Collaboration

We placed great emphasis on individuals, teams and interactions during our engagement with BAT. Workshops, sitevisits, videoconferencing, co-location and regular review meetings etc. were all used to accommodate new ideas, new requirements and new approaches. This enabled us over our three-year engagement with BAT to continually focus on innovation and the ability to solve problems. Our processes and tools continually evolved to directly support the delivery of services.

With people front and centre, we were able to create a highly effective participatory environment which could adapt to the ever-changing circumstances and ensure project delivery success.

We created a plan with BAT to enable us to maintain service continuity across 120 global end-markets by breaking up the project into four phased Tranches. Each Tranche was carefully profiled to contain the optimum mix of geographical regions, business criticality and complexity. 21 end-markets required an additional secondary workstream within the tranches, all managed under our Agile Service Management Framework.

4. Focus on Working Solutions

We knew that complex documentation would not support the rapid deployment of services across 120 global end-markets each with local operational variances and propensity for change.

Although documentation is important to all projects, it was necessary to dramatically simplify the administrative paperwork relating to time, cost control, scope control and reporting.

An Agile Service Matrix template was produced to confirm each end-markets requirements and enable rapid 2/11

review, change and feedback. By producing fewer and more streamlined solution documents that were easier to maintain, we were able to provide better visibility into potential issues. With more time to focus on developing and delivering service's by quickly understanding requirements and assessing the status daily we were able to maximise the efficient delivery of successful solutions.

5. Responding Rapidly to Change

Traditional approaches to Service Management usually involve the customer at three key points:

- 1. Start of the Project.
- 2. Any time the scope changes during the project.
- 3. End of the project.

This focus on negotiation at these three intervals often discourages valuable customer input and can foster an adversarial relationship between the customer and project teams. Too many projects fail because the project delivered the solution that was designed, but not the solution that was required.

From experience, we knew that you will never know less about a project than at the start. Locking details in at the beginning means that decisions can be made on incomplete knowledge. By introducing the flexibility for change as we learnt more about BAT's disparate end-markets and complex operations, we were ideally positioned to ultimately deliver a better service.

We developed a collaborative approach with BAT supported by a suite of tools and key project data to enable us to accommodate change systematically. This allowed our project teams to respond quickly to change. By recording and reporting on changes early, we were able to start forecasting and feeding back to BAT, increasing project stability as change became more predictable and manageable.

6. Agile Service Management Framework

The Agile Service Management Framework we developed composed of three major components. Each of these intrinsically linked with the programme, project and service phase of delivery. The following table expands on these components.

Programme							
	Identify initiatives to deliver the changes						
Establish	needed to achieve the programme vision.						
Foundation	Establish framework for coordinating and						
	measuring the value delivered by these.						
Deliver the	Establish and coordinate projects and other						

initiatives to deliver capabilities required to Change achieve programme benefits. Encourage early and incremental delivery of Keep it business capability change. Measure benefits realised and feed back to Current programme plans as required Project Provide a foundation of understanding of Establish what will be delivered. Agree the approach Foundation to making this a reality Engage the right people to get it done Evolve integrated elements of the business Deliver the solution in small manageable Change increments - ensuring each increment enables vision-aligned business benefit Start realising the benefits of the new Keep it business capability as early as possible. Current Achieved by the earliest sensible delivery of the solution increments. Service Evolution Establish an iterative, incremental, customer-centric approach to service Establish evolution that can remain true to the service Foundation vision and within business/legal/ technical constraints Evolve the service with the active Deliver the involvement of business stakeholders and Change service users. Focus on early realisation of value. Make valuable service changes a reality as Keep it early as possible Make changes Current

Paper Code: FZ01A1719

The Agile process breaks a larger project into several smaller parts that can be developed in increments and iterations. Studies have proven that there is a negative correlation between project size and success (i.e.: the shorter the project, the higher the success rate).[3]

incrementally.

The Agile approach reduces the size of the project by creating several smaller projects. This iteration approach distinguishes Agile management from other management methods.

We used Agile management iterations during the planning and development phases. During these sessions, we collaborated with BAT to prioritise what needed to be added

to the iteration.

The result was a working solution delivered quickly to the BAT in a production-like environment. BAT was able to review the service's and make changes if needed. Many changes were made throughout the process as changes to the programme were made. This iteration process was repeated until the project was completed.

To support an Agile approach, we developed 4 key functions:

- 1. AGILE Knowledge Hub.
- 2. AGILE Service Matrix.
- 3. AGILE Tools.
- 4. AGILE Management Team.

These are described in the section below.

7. Agile Knowledge Hub

Together with BAT we developed a shared repository enabling all members of the project with the ability to collaborate and share information on the services provided. The Knowledge Hub was provided via SharePoint but could have exploited any knowledge repository.

The Agile Knowledge Hub empowered teamwork across the board, with dynamic and productive team sites for each area of the project team. We were able to easily share files, data, news, and resources. The Hub supported the migration of services within the service model without losing quality during service transition.

8. Agile Service Matrix

The Agile Service Matrix featured in Appendix A, provided an operational feedback loop and was at the heart of the Agile Service Management Framework. It brought together people, purpose and collaborative creativity to evolve services and ways of workings across 120 global endmarkets and was used primarily to:

- Baseline detailed operational data to support implementation, including volumetrics, people, processes, products, partners and site locations.
- Provide a Gap Analysis, capturing changed or additional service and resource requirements.
- Prepare resolver group routing for all Incidents/Requests via the Global Service Delivery Centres.

The Service Matrix for each end-market moved along the change journey, tracked by the Agile Dashboards and initially dealt sequentially with:

• Establishing the driver for the change.

- Establishing the foundation of understanding for how it will be achieved.
- Delivering the change required to meet the identified need.

9. Agile Tools

Agility is often supported by automation. If done well, automated processes or procedures can be more consistent, effective, efficient, expeditious and provide long term data repositories.

We developed a suite of tools to support our approach to Agile Service Management and Delivery including:

- Dashboards.
- Metrics and analytics.
- Flowcharts.
- Project Management.
- 9.1 Agile Dashboard

We developed dynamic and interactive monitoring via real-time dashboards and created a direct touchpoint between Dev, Ops, and BAT. This enabled problems to be identified, treated or escalated directly where they emerged and:

- Made work visible.
- Limited work in progress.
- Continually monitored and elevated constraints.
- Eliminated waste.

The real-time Dashboards below enabled both BAT and suppliers to track the Service Matrix lifecycle and end-market deployment by each tranche.



Figure 1. Agile Service Dashboard

In developing the Agile Service Dashboard, we incorporated three principles:

- Visualise what we do: see all the items within context of each other more informative.
- Limit the amount of work in progress (WIP): balance the flow-based approach so teams are not committed to doing too much work at once.

• Enhance the flow - as soon as one task is finished, start on the next highest job from the backlog

This method promoted continued collaboration by both suppliers and BAT project teams. It encouraged ongoing learning and improvements to provide the best possible workflow for the project. In addition, the Dashboard was a particularly useful tool for understanding impediments and team velocity and was used to manage the flow of process design activities or to identify bottlenecks in processes.

9.2 Transition Timeline

Setting expectations for delivery time was one of the most challenging aspects of the BAT project.

We developed an Agile Transition Timeline updated in real-time and published on the Agile Knowledge Hub. It clearly showed a chronological order of events, showing what phases were already in the past, what was currently in progress now and what was supposed to be finished in the future.

The Agile Transition Timeline view encouraged transparency, and allowed us to analyse what had already happened, create plans for the future, and stay on track in the present.



Figure 2. Real Time Transition TimeLine

The ability to display all four tranches on one screen and show how they all coincided with each other proved to be invaluable. The project teams were able get a visual comparison between planned and actual end dates and also see automatic forecasts for when the projects were expected to be completed.

We were able check estimations against real work to identify and correct any deviations from the plan.

Milestones (those colored in green) added significant value to the project scheduling. Other colour coding helped us to synchronise work across different site types and tranches.

The main difference between our Agile Transition Timeline and our more traditional Gantt Charts were their respective application areas. In an Agile environment, when you compare timeline vs Gantt Chart, the latter proves to be too inflexible.

Gantt Charts assume that work will be completed in a linear fashion, and they don't do a good job of illustrating how the total amount of work left on a project, changes with each iteration.

9.3 Service Matrix Lifecycle

Change was delivered incrementally, with each increment agreed, prioritised and delivered according to the needs of BAT.

With each delivery of a solution increment, and/or when driven by internal or external events, there was an opportunity to review the driver and/or the foundations of the change initiative before evolving the solution further effectively resetting the Service Matrix to a previous step in the process before passing rapidly through the early steps to recommence evolution.

The Service Matrix lifecycle is shown in the figure below and was fundamental in:

- Providing structure & control over processing the Service Matrices.
- Increase collaboration between all reviewers, stakeholders, approvers.
- Increasing efficiency & reducing costs.
- Ensuring quality of the final models.

SERVICE MATRIX LIFECYLE												
	RUSH	MOTE: NOT to pro End Marke	ude a coni t 90 deys (pleted Market Current Hat prior to the planned Service	e bransar Start det	sect template, with all is effer the corresponding i	nterenati NAME The	an to enable the supplier riche for the End Market.	a canyb	rte the Transition for ea	ið niear	e.
										MIN		
4 3 3		-4		1		2		3		4		5
100 138 317 318 318 314 338 333 333 330 300 308 337 306 308 300 300 300 300	100 00 66 0	0 86 95 84 95 8	12 46 4	0 80 88 87 88 8	5 84 8	3 82 81 80 20 78	1 77 2	6 75 74 79 72 7	72 4		63 6	61 60 58 58 5
1.064%				2. REVIEW		JIK OPDATE		25. REVIEW	1.6999.045			4. PUBLISH
M/				PLATER		842		PLATEJ		BAT		PLUTSU
		Oue Date:		Due Dutor:		Due Date:		Due Oate:		Due Date:		Ove Date:
	70	03-14-18	10	85-14-28	TĐ	35-14-35	73	23-14-18	TØ	30-14-18	30	05-Aug-18
	71	02-109-18	71	271ep-08	12	10-5ep-28	73	23-tep-58	13	28-1ep-18	71	05-03-18
	72	03-5ce-35	72	\$9-Nov-18	72	35-Nev-18	72	23-509-18	72	20-Mon-18	72	67-Oec-18
	73	03-Dec-38	73	ET Ceo 18	13	14-Oel-18	T3	21 Dec 18	73	28 Dec 18	73	C6-549-19
	14	25-tan-15	34	04-Feb-15	T4	11-Feb-29	T4	15-Feb-15	T4	25-Feb-15	34	64-Man 23
ATMIES				ACIMITES		ACTIVATES		ACTIVITIES		ACTIVITIES		ACTIVITIES
1.3 Fujitus to submit pre-populated Clark no later than 28 elapsed days before Creation due dates above.			23603	r checking	38.19	pdate, Weaplee	26.5 10	gact Aases	3.1 N	prove	6.1 Publ	ah Approved CSA
 Where achievable Failtou will submit pre-populated (SA's in advance of these datas as they are completed. 			+ True	ration Team	3+25	denit 25 review	+ T10	rotion Team	• 64	TASM	42265	sh Support Mateix
1.2 Bit? to return completed Ch3's by the dues dates above.			# Dreed #				+ 344	ace	133	dent 6. Publish		
 Where achievable BAT will return completed CSA's in advance of these dates as they are completed. 			2250	mit for Ja. update			+388				a control	et ta ionna charge core
			√ 50 D	107			+ 024	40				
			23.00	INCOME.			 Corr 	mencial				
			+ 5em	**			302.9	dmit 3. Approve				
			110									
			+ 625	60								
			+ Cen	mercal								
			2450	access (tim								

Figure 3. Service Matrix Lifecycle

9.4 Metrics

On a project the scale of BAT's Global End User Services, it was crucial to develop a set of Agile metrics to help us to measure the development process, gauging productivity, work quality, predictability, and health of the team and services being developed. A key focus of Agile metrics was on value delivered to BAT i.e. instead of measuring "what" or "how much" we were doing, we measured how it impacted BAT.

Lead Time: The Lead Time Metric measured the total time from the moment a Service Matrix entered the system until it was completed as part of a Tranche. It measured the $5 \swarrow 11$

total time for a requirement to be realised and start earning value – the speed of the value chain. In many ways, lead time was more important than velocity because it measured the entire Agile system from end to end. Reducing lead time meant the entire development pipeline was becoming more efficient



Figure 4. Lead Time Metric

Velocity: Velocity measured how many Service Matrices were completed by each team, on average, over each of the 4 tranches. Velocity proved to be powerful because it was a result metric i.e. how much value was actually delivered to BAT in each tranche. It was used to predict the team's output for each upcoming Tranche.



Figure 5. Velocity Metric

Scope Change: "Scope creep" is the injection of more requirements into a previously defined project. As the teams moved through the project, we were able to decide to take on or remove work based on what was being revealed. The Scope Change Metric kept everyone aware of the ebb and flow of work inside each tranche.

	TO		T1	72		T3		T3			T4
6	CSA'S		18 CSA'S 22 CSA'S 26 CSA'S		22 CSA'S		26 CSA'S		CSA'S		
92 IMPA	CT STATEMENTS	106 IN	IPACT STATEMENTS	122 IMP/	MPACT STATEMENTS 184 IMPACT STATEMENTS 292 IMPAC		APACT STATEMENTS				
No Change	28	No Change	64	No Charge		No Change	7	No Change	121		
Service Hours	23	Resource	21	Scope	1.1	Scope	22	Scope	71		
R Resource	22	F		C Responde	D	2 Resource	= >	2 heavie	III 17		
Scope		hispo	19	Service Hours	14	380 Classification	1.2	Service Hours	13		
Sile Classification		Service Hears	12	Sile Classification		Service Hours	1.2	58e Classification	1		

Figure 6. Scope Change Metric

10. Agile Management Team

The Agile Management Team was a self - organising team that clearly understood what it took to get things done.

For each increment of work, they were provided a goal, a backlog of tasks, a completion date and a clear and shared "Definition of Done". The Team agreed on an approach for completing the work and meeting the goal. Essentially, the Team was given the "what"; they collectively determined the "how."

The Team always had a least three members but no more than nine to ensure sufficient cross functional skills and the ability to self-organise and included members from Design, Project, Contract and Service.

11. Agile Process Owner

Most service management frameworks advocate for a Process Owner role that is accountable for the end-to-end results of the process.

Frameworks such as ITIL® do a good job of describing the responsibilities of a Process Owner for a specific process. The Agile Process Owner role supplements the Process Owner role description by adding responsibilities for integrating Agile practices and instilling agile thinking into the process.

The key responsibility of the Process Owner was to create, manage, prioritise and own the Service Matrix Lifecycle. This was the single source of current or future requirements for a service, including activities, tools, plans, interfaces, documentation, training and improvements.

The Process Owner had ultimate authority over the items in the Service Matrix Lifecyle and ensured that the items were clear and visible. This role understood how to prioritise items in the and helped the Team understand the next process increment.

Other responsibilities of the Process Owner included:

- Communicating the process' vision and goals
- Ensuring that Agile values are embedded into the process so that outcomes and collaboration are prized over tools and artifacts
- Clarifying a Definition of Done for each process increment
- Inspecting the progress and status of the process after each Sprint
- Auditing and reviewing the process on a regular basis
- Prioritizing improvements in the Process Backlog
- Being accountable for overall process quality and deliverables

The Process Owner was not necessarily responsible for performing any or all of the tasks associated with managing a service. Depending on the size and complexity of the project, the Process Owner assigned one or more roles to oversee day to day process execution.

6/11

12. Key Agile Takeaways

Agility does not happen overnight. Moving an organisation to an Agile mindset and an Agile Service Management approach takes practice and perseverance. Identifying an organisation's "just enough" level takes time and experience.

Changing the thinking and behavior of individuals takes repetition, openness and patience. Embracing the values of Commitment, Focus, Respect, Openness and Courage is essential. Wherever you are in the Agile Service Management journey, it is important to understand what it means to "be agile" before you attempt to "do agile". Start simple and stay simple. Pick one process to pilot as a learning experience. Identify a Process Owner, Agile Service Manager and stakeholders. Build a small self-organising team with cross - functional skills and appropriate levels of ITSM and Agile Service Management training. Engage stakeholders and encourage feedback. Start with a Minimum Viable Process and move forward from there. Introduce the new or improved process in small, frequent increments. Give the customer time to absorb, adopt and adapt to new behaviors. Mature the processes holistically and organically. Small, short-term wins will deliver greater wins in the long term.

- Do provide structure & control over processing the Support Matrix [Requirements]
- Do understand the aim of the change for the client, what is the business value they are aiming for.
- Do increase collaboration between all reviewers, stakeholders, approvers.
- Don't scare the client, Agile can be achieved by clients with very low service maturity.

13. Conclusion

According to Gartner, "By 2020, more than 50% of infrastructure and operations (I&O) organizations will adopt business value dashboards (BVDs), which will be a significant increase from today's level of less than 10%."[5]. These business-oriented dashboards create the foundation for demonstrating and improving value and effectiveness of service and project delivery by improving the speed and accuracy of decision-making.

Agility concerns the ability to react and adapt to expected and unexpected changes and opportunities as they arise. While agility encompasses numerous aspects, the constant is "time." It's the ability to identify new risks and opportunities and develop a strategic response quickly, with the flexibility to execute on the response

Agile management is an exciting and fascinating approach to delivering services. By integrating the project teams and customers in the planning and implementing processes, the result is a more rewarding experience for everyone involved.

Complex global solutions are in demand and Agile Service Management is the best answer to integrate disparate services in order to deliver business value. As this trend continues, our customers will need our help to collaboratively design and build a service model for their business.

The recent award for BAT's "Best Regional Project" and the signing of 4 new contracts worth £80m on the back of this success, provides demonstrable evidence that we can deliver a comprehensive Service and Project Management Framework founded on effective Agile principles.

We must now build upon this successful approach and continue to find ways to increase the value to our customers. It gives more meaning to those who are actively working on the project and creates a more positive experience for our customers, producing more generous end results for the company.

Reference

- [1] Available at Forrester Glossary
 <u>https://www.forrester.com/staticassets/glossary.html#heading-i</u> [Accessed
 05/05/19]
- [2] Gartner's Agile in the Enterprise Report <u>https://circle.gartner.com/portals/2/resources/pdf/agile%20in%20the%20e</u> nterprise%20-%20Full%20report%202017.pdf [Accessed 03/04/2019].
- [3] A Correlational Study Assessing the Relationships among Information Technology Project Complexity, Project Complication, and Project Success.

https://www.academia.edu/1294316/A Correlational Study Assessing t he Relationships among Information Technology Project Complexity Project Complication and Project Success [Accessed 03/04/19]

- [4] Gartner 2019 Magic Quadrant for Enterprise Agile Planning Tools <u>https://www.ca.com/us/collateral/industry-analyst-report/gartner-magic-guadrant-for-enterprise-agile-planning-tools.html</u> [Accessed 23/08/2018].
- [5] 20 Gary Spivak and Robert Naegle, Market Guide for I&O Business Value Dashboards, Gartner, May 2015. Accessed January 19, 2016. <u>https://www.gartner.com/doc/3059017/</u> market-guide-io-businessvalue [Accessed 03/04/19]

Appendix A-Agile Service Matrix

			UJITSU		k k	
			BAT		09.9th 09.4	t at a
			BRD PARTY SUPPLIER		ORICULTE ORICEUNC	untive
	AREA	REF	BAT ACTIVITY DESCRIPTION	ANG P	when any any	with other
	END USER COMPUTE PRINTING	OS01	Office Printers, Field Force Mobile/Barcode Printer Support		NCR-MPS Konica Minolta	Hardware / NCR-MPS Konica Minolta Software
AC 10	LOCAL APPLICATION & DATABASE SUPPORT	OS02	Back End Application Database [Oracle/MS SQL] Support		DC T2/3-DC Wintel	
GLOBAL	FOLDER MANAGEMENT	OS03	Granting permissions to folders		Commodity Support	
200	FACILITIES SUPPORT	OS04	Cabling, Displays, etc.		Commodity Support	
DAPTY	SECURITY SYSTEM SUPPORT	OS05	On-site security systems, monitors etc.		Commodity Support	
PANTI	WAN NETWORKING SUPPORT	OS06	WAN Networking Support		HDI-GWAN-BT-T1	
	LOCAL NETWORKING DEVICE SUPPORT	OS07	LAN local devices [switches, routers and firewall] Support		Commodity Support	
		PC01	Equipment O/S Win10, Application Build Process		BAT User Self Service	BAT User Self Service
	DC RUND	PC02	Exceptional Installing / rebuilding O/S for Users		MWP Technician	Postal service by MWP Technician from Supported Site
	CONFIGURATION	PC03	VPN & O365 simple application configuration		Remote Service	Remote Service
	CONFIGURATION	PC04	Exceptional install and User intro of applications	_	MWP Technician	NA
		PC05	Data Migration as consequence of equipment replacement		BAT User Self Service	BAT User Self Service
	FOUR DELINITORY	EQ01	Physical delivery of equipment to an equipment store.		User Device Supplier ser	vi User Device Supplier service
	EQUIPMENT DELIVERY	EQ02	Physical delivery of equipment toequipment store following repair.		User Device Supplier ser	vi User Device Supplier service
		PR01	Validation & processing of an approved user request for new equipment		Remote Service	Remote Service
	II PROCUREMENT	PR02	Transfer of an equipment purchase order to Lenovo once received from BAT		Remote Service	Remote Service
	ASSET TRACKING	AS01	Transfer of asset data from incident/request tickets to central asset register		Remote Service	Remote Service
	WARRANTY COORDINATION	WA01	Validate, invoke and manage warranty repair		Remote Service	Remote Service
	DISPOSAL	DI01	Co-ordinate for device disposal inc data removal		MWP Technician	Postal service to MWP Technician at Supported Site
	DISTUSAL	TS01	Paising request to Telco (phone/sim)		MWP Technician	MWP Technician from relevant Supported Site
		TS02	Tarrif coordination / Limits		BAT Licer Self Service	BAT Licer Self Service
	TELEPHONY SUPPORT	TS02	Telenhone Penjarement / Pefresh orcie		MW/P Technician	Postal service by MWP Technician from Supported Site
		1303	Configuration of mobile device		BAT Liser Self Service	BAT Licer Self Service
		AV/01	Projectors and TVs break/fix		MW/P Technician	NA
		AV02	Meeting room proactive monitoring		MWP Technician	NA
	AUDIO VISUAI SUPPORT	AV02	Coordination of conlacement / new AV oquinment		MWP Technician	NA
	AUDIO VISORE SOLLONI	AVOA	Installation of newly purchased AV equipment		MWP Technician	NA
		AV/05	AV equipment disposal		MWP Technician	NA
		E001	AV equipment disposal		MWP Technician	NA
	EVECUTIVE DEPRONA SUPPORT	EDOJ	PC / Mobile phone configuration		MWP Technician	NA
	EXECUTIVE TENSOR SOFTON	ED02	licestraining		MWP Technician	NA
		1401	Give out a specified device/peripheral to a User		MWP Technician	Rectal conico by MWR Technician from Supported Site
		1401	Becoive a specified device/peripheral from a User		MWP Technician	Postal service by MWP Technician from Supported Site
	INVENTORY & ASSET MANAGEMENT	1402	Track assets (undates assets an central register		Demote Service	Postal service by www reclinician noil adoptited are
		1403	Ad hes (security investory / stack take		MM/D Technician	Nemote service
	INAACD	18404	Ad-hoc (annual) Inventory / Stock take		MWP Technician	NA Self Service
		1101	Keeping a track of locally procured licencer, procuring new licencer		Remote Service	Sell Selvice
	COOPDINATION OF GLOBAL 2PD BAPTIES	CS01	Coordinating clobal 2rd parties (MRS, AV, Security Systems, Factory chap atc)		Remote Service	Remote Service
	COORDINATION OF GLODAL SILD PARTIES	0001 0001	Maintaining production parties (MP3, AV, Security Systems, ractory shop etc)		Brd Party	Remote Service
	PRINTING	0000	Registreublesheeting of minters arrows		MW/D Technician	NA
	FACTORY LAB SHORELOOD SUBDORT	FR02	Jab /Shee flees support and DC maintenance		NA NA	NA
	ADDITION INSTALL	AP01	Lab/shop hoor support and PC maintenance		Remote Service	NA Remote Service
	FOLDER MANAGEMENT	EM01	Granting normissions to folders		Remote Service	Remote Service
		D001	Data restoration based on LEV requests (BAT Lenal)		Remote Service	Remote Service
	LICER TRAINING	UT01	Training for now iningers and Field Force		RAT Licer Solf Service	Remote Service
	HANDS/EVES & LOCAL 3RD DARTY LIAISON	HEOT	Technical tacks at request of third parties		MWP Technician	NA
	RESIDIAL SITE BASED LISER SUBDORT	DB01	Peridual licer Support		MWP Technician	BAT Liner Salf Saprice
	DEDICATED EVECUTIVE DEDICATED EVECUTIVE	RBUI	Residual Osci Support		MWR Technician	NA
	DEDICATED AUDIO VISUAL SERVICE	DEUI	Provide dedicated Audia Vicual Convice		MWD Technician	NA
	DEDICATED AUDIO VISUAL SERVICE	0002	novide dedicated AUGIO VISUAI Service.		local Support	INA
		AAUI	Unr		Local Support	
	ADDITIONAL ACTIVITIES NOT COVERED BY	AAU2	Network rearry maintenance		Local Support	
	MWP STANDARD SERVICES	AAU3	rr rapiets configuration (40 Reps) post PETRA		Local Support	
		AA04	Virtual reception support		MWP Technician	
		AA05	Globalguest account/pwd creation		Remote Service	

Appendix B - Large Format Figures



Figure 1 – Agile Service Dashboard





SERVICE MATRIX LIFECYLE							
1. CREATE 2. REVIEW 3. APPROVE 4. PUBLISH	NOTE:						
2a. UPDATE x 1	BAT to prov End Market	ide a completed Market Current Stat 90 days prior to the planned Service	e Assessment template, with all i Start date for the corresponding	nformation to enable the Supplier to MWP Tranche for the End Market.	complete the Transition for ea	ach relevant	
					WEEP	KS	
-4 -3 -2	-1	1	2	3	4	5	
119 118 117 116 115 114 113 112 111 110 109 108 107 106 105 104 103 102 101 100 95	98 97 96 95 94 93 93	2 91 90 89 88 87 86 85	5 84 83 82 81 80 79 7	8 77 76 75 74 73 72 71	70 69 68 67 66 65 64	4 63 62 61 60 59 58 57	
1. CREATE		2. REVIEW	2a. UPDATE	2b. REVIEW	3. APPROVE	4. PUBLISH	
BAI	Due Dates	FUJIISU BAI		FUIISU BAI		FUITSU	
	Due Date:	Due Date:	Due Date:	Due Date:	Due Date:		
	T1 01 Sep 19	T1 07 Cop 18	T1 14 See 18	T1 21 Sep 19	T1 39 See 19	T1 05 Oct 19	
	T2 03-Nov-18	T2 09-Nov-18	T2 16-Nov-18	T2 23-Nov-18	T2 30-Nov-18	T2 07-Dec-18	
	T3 01-Dec-18	T3 07-Dec-18	T3 14-Dec-18	T3 21-Dec-18	T3 28-Dec-18	T3 04-lap-19	
	T4 29-Jan-19	T4 04-Eeb-19	T4 11-Feb-19	T4 18-Feb-19	T4 25-Feb-19	T4 04-Mar-19	
ACTIVITIES		ACTIVITIES	ACTIVITIES	ACTIVITIES	ACTIVITIES	ACTIVITIES	
1.1 Fujitsu to submit pre-populated CSA's no later than 28 elapsed days before Creation due dates above.		2.1 Error Checking 2a.1 Update/Resolve 2b.1 Impact Assess		2b.1 Impact Assess	3.1 Approve	4.1 Publish Approved CSA	
Where achievable Fujitsu will submit pre-populated CSA's in advance of these dates as they are completed.		Transition Team 2a.2 Submit 2b. review Transition Team BAT ASM			BAT ASM	4.2 Publish Support Matrix	
1.2 BAT to return completed CSA's by the dues dates above.		X Error		Service	3.2 Submit 4. Publish	Cubication formul Change Control	
Where achievable BAT will return completed CSA's in advance of these dates as they are completed.		2.2 Submit for 2a. update		• HR		subject to formal change control	
	✓ No Error		GPMO				
	2.3. Impact Assess		Commercial				
		Service		2b.2. Submit 3. Approve			
		• HR					
		GPMO					
		Commercial					
		2.4 Submit 3. Approve					





Figure 5– Velocity Metric



Figure 5– Scope Change Metric

то	T1	T2	Т3	Τ4		
6 CSA'S	18 CSA'S	22 CSA'S	26 CSA'S	17 CSA'S		
92 IMPACT STATEMENTS	106 IMPACT STATEMENTS	122 IMPACT STATEMENTS	184 IMPACT STATEMENTS	292 IMPACT STATEMENTS		
No Change 28	No Change 64	No Change 84	No Change 75	No Change 121		
Service Hours 23	Resource 21	Scope 📕 6	Scope 33	Scope 71		
β Resource 22	F	업 Resource 5	p Resource 9	본 Resource 17		
Scope 13	Scope 19	Service Hours 4	Site Classification 2	Service Hours 3		
Site Classification 6	Service Hours 2	Site Classification 1	Service Hours 2	Site Classification 2		